

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/641,715	08/21/2000	Laszlo Hullam	Ideal 425	7913	
7:	590 08/16/2002				
Joel H Bock			EXAMINER		
Cook, Alex, McFarron, Manzo, Cummings, & Mehler, LTD. 200 West Adams Street			DEXTER, CLARK F		
Suite 2850 Chicago, IL 60	0606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3724		
			DATE MAILED: 08/16/2002	?	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/641,715

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Clark F. Dexter

Art Unit **3724**

Hullam

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period f	• •						
THE	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
	ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In a date of this communication.	no event, however, ma	y a reply be	timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 6, 20	02		·			
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action	ion is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7</u>			is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>4, 5, and</u> 7			is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u>		•	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are	subject t	to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held	in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) 🗌							
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) 🗌							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some* c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
_	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	e		413) Paper No(s)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
3) Info	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/641,715 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed June 6, 2002 has been entered. It is noted that in view of the new amendment practice under 37 CFR 1.121 which became mandatory for all amendments on March 1, 2001, and due to the limited amount of examining time per application, if the amendment contains changes to existing language that requires a marked-up version showing those changes, the Examiner is relying upon the marked-up version(s) for examination of the application. It is applicant's responsibility to ensure that the clean version(s) is (are) the same as the marked-up version(s). It is further noted that the clean version(s) is (are) considered to be the Official version(s).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, lines 12-14, the recitation "said sharp surfaces being disposed at a first angle relative to the respective inner faces and said blunt surfaces being disposed at a second angle relative to the respective inner faces, the first angle being smaller than the second angle" is vague and indefinite as to what disclosed structure is being set forth, particularly as to what disclosed structure the first and second angles refer.

Application/Control Number: 09/641,715 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gunson, pn 4,048,721.

Gunson discloses a cutting tool with every structural limitation of the claimed invention as best understood from the claims including a first cutting member (e.g., the upper member shown in Figure 1) pivotally attached to a second cutting member (e.g., the lower member shown in Figure 1), the first and second cutting members each having an inner face which faces toward the other cutting member and an outer face which faces away from the other cutting member, and wherein each cutting member includes a cutting edge with a blunt surface (e.g., the flat portion of each handle which is located on the cutting edge side of each pivot hole; i.e., following the surface of the handle on the blade edge side and moving toward the blade edge, the portion at a position just before the handle edge ends and the blade edge begins) located radially inwardly of a serrated sharp surface (e.g., 16).

Application/Control Number: 09/641,715 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed June 6, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In the third paragraph on page 4 of the amendment, applicant argues that "[N]othing in Gunson teaches or suggests a cutting member having a blunt surface so as to crush the optical fiber without cutting through the protective layer." The Examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant's analysis. The Examiner's position is not that Gunson discloses the same use for the disclosed cutting device, but rather Gunson discloses all of the structure of the claimed invention; specifically, Gunson clearly discloses cutting members each having a sharp surface and blunt surface located radially inwardly of the sharp edges as described in the rejection above.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 3724

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clark Dexter whose telephone number is (703) 308-1404. The examiner's typical work schedule is Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday, and he can be reached during normal business hours on these days.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Allan Shoap, can be reached at (703)308-1082.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148. The fax numbers for this group are: formal papers - (703)305-3579; informal/draft papers - (703)305-9835.

Clark F. Dexter Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724

cfd August 12, 2002